Navigating Biomedical Ethical Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare

Mirza Abdul Aleem Baig[1]

Center for Biomedical Engineering
School of Electronic Engineering and Information Science
University of Science and Technology of China (USTC)
Hefei, Anhui, P.R. China
baig@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Abstract. Biomedical ethics, commonly known as bioethics, constitutes a dynamic and interdisciplinary field that confronts the intricate ethical challenges and moral quandaries inherent at the convergence of medicine, healthcare, and the life sciences. Its foundation lies in the core principles of respect for autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, which collectively establish a robust framework for guiding ethical decision-making in the realm of clinical practice, research endeavors, and the formulation of healthcare policies. The principle of autonomy underscores the importance of respecting individuals' rights to make informed decisions about their own healthcare, while beneficence and non-maleficence emphasize the ethical obligation to promote well-being and prevent harm. Justice, in the context of biomedical ethics, demands the equitable distribution of resources and fair treatment for all individuals. Biomedical ethics serves as an indispensable compass for healthcare professionals, researchers, policymakers, and society at large, providing ethical guidance to navigate the intricate landscape of advancements in medicine and the life sciences.

Keywords: Biomedical ethics, Autonomy underscores, Non-maleficence.

1. Introduction

The dawn of Artificial Intelligence (AI) marks a transformative era in the healthcare landscape, introducing promising solutions for critical aspects such as diagnosis, treatment modalities, and patient care. The integration of AI-driven technologies into the biomedical domain is progressively accelerating, bringing to the forefront a sensitive awareness of ethical considerations [1,2]. This opinion article endeavors to scrutinize the intersection of AI and biomedical ethics, navigating through the complexities associated with pivotal challenges and discerning the potential opportunities that emerge within this dynamic unification. The exploration of ethical dimensions in the context of AI's role in healthcare is indispensable for fostering responsible and beneficial advancements in biomedical applications, as shown in figure 1.

Fig. 1. Various aspects of Biomedical Ethical Challenges of AI

A dominant issue surrounding the integration of AI into healthcare systems revolves around the intricate management of sensitive patient data. The efficacy of AI algorithms cruxes on their ability to integrate and analyze extensive datasets to inform decision-making processes. In the context of healthcare, where patient privacy is inviolable, ensuring the security of this wealth of information emerges as a critical ethical imperative [3]. The burden lies on healthcare organizations to institute rigorous measures that not only safeguard patient data from unauthorized access but also precisely adhere to stringent data protection regulations. The implementation of robust data security protocols not only mitigates the risks of breaches but also becomes instrumental in upholding the trust individuals place in the healthcare system. Striking a delicate balance between harnessing the potential of AI for enhanced medical insights and maintaining the privacy and security of patient information stands as a multifaceted challenge in the ethical deployment of AI in healthcare. Ethical consideration and description are as follows.

1. Data Privacy and Security. Ensuring the protection of sensitive patient data used by AI algorithms, requiring robust measures, compliance with data protection regulations, and maintaining patient trust.
2. Informed Consent in the Age of AI. Reconsidering traditional informed consent concepts due to AI's influence on medical decisions, emphasizing the need for clear communication about AI's role, benefits, risks, and limitations.
3. Bias and Fairness in AI Algorithms. Identifying and mitigating biases in AI models to prevent perpetuation of healthcare disparities and ensuring fairness and equity in diagnosis, treatment recommendations, and patient outcomes.
4. Explainability and Transparency. Addressing concerns about opaque "black box" AI algorithms in healthcare by developing systems that are explainable and transparent, providing insights into decision-making for trust and adoption.
5. Clinical Decision Support. Navigating ethical considerations related to accountability for decisions made by AI-driven clinical decision support systems, emphasizing the importance of balancing human expertise and AI assistance.
6. End-of-life Care and Decision-making. Considering ethical aspects of AI's role in end-of-life care, including respecting patient autonomy and values during difficult decisions and establishing frameworks for AI integration in sensitive areas.
7. Resource Allocation and Equity. Striking a balance between AI-optimized resource allocation and social justice to ensure fair and equitable distribution of healthcare resources, avoiding exacerbation of existing inequalities.
8. Human-AI Collaboration. Addressing ethical questions surrounding the roles and responsibilities of healthcare professionals and AI systems, requiring the establishment of frameworks without compromising human-centric values.
9. Regulatory Compliance and Ethical Guidelines. Emphasizing the importance of adherence to regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines in the development and deployment of AI technologies in healthcare for responsible innovation and public trust.

A dominant issue surrounding the integration of AI into healthcare systems revolves around the intricate management of sensitive patient data. The efficacy of AI algorithms cruxes on their ability to integrate and analyze extensive datasets to inform decision-making processes. In the context of healthcare, where patient privacy is inviolable, ensuring the security of this wealth of information emerges as a critical ethical imperative [4]. The burden lies on healthcare organizations to institute rigorous measures that not only safeguard patient data from unauthorized access but also precisely adhere to stringent data protection regulations. The implementation of robust data security protocols not only mitigates the risks of breaches but also becomes instrumental in upholding the trust individuals place in the healthcare system. Striking a delicate balance between harnessing the potential of AI for enhanced medical insights and maintaining the privacy and security of patient information stands as a multifaceted challenge in the ethical deployment of AI in healthcare.

The persistent influence of AI technologies on medical decision-making prompts a compelling reassessment of the conventional paradigm of informed consent. In the context of healthcare, it becomes imperative to redefine and expand the scope of informed consent processes, ensuring that patients and research participants possess a nuanced understanding of the role that AI plays in their care or study participation. Central to this endeavor is the necessity for clear and transparent communication regarding the benefits, risks, and limitations inherent in AI applications [5]. Achieving informed consent in the realm of AI involves not only elucidating the potential advantages but also delineating the associated risks and acknowledging the technological limitations. This comprehensive disclosure becomes paramount in cultivating an informed and autonomous decision-making process for individuals, fostering a foundation rooted in ethical principles. As AI continues to evolve in the medical landscape, refining the framework for informed consent becomes a pivotal element in upholding ethical standards and fostering a collaborative and informed partnership between healthcare providers, researchers, and those under their care [6,7].

The ethical challenge posed by the risk of bias in AI algorithms within the healthcare domain is a matter of considerable concern. The inherent danger lies in algorithms trained on biased datasets, as these may inadvertently perpetuate and even exacerbate existing healthcare disparities. Recognizing and addressing this issue requires a concerted effort from developers to prioritize the identification and subsequent mitigation of biases embedded within AI models. Achieving fairness and equity in crucial aspects such as diagnosis, treatment recommendations, and overall patient outcomes is contingent upon the thorough examination and rectification of biases in the underlying datasets [8]. Developers and stakeholders must implement rigorous protocols to scrutinize datasets for potential biases, actively work towards diversifying training data, and continually refine algorithms to ensure that AI technologies contribute positively to healthcare without inadvertently amplifying existing disparities. This commitment to fairness becomes paramount in realizing the full potential of AI in healthcare while upholding ethical standards and promoting an inclusive and equitable healthcare landscape for all individuals.

The opacity associated with numerous AI algorithms, commonly denoted as black box models, presents a notable challenge in terms of accountability and transparency, particularly within the healthcare domain where decisions carry profound consequences [9]. Establishing AI systems that are both explainable and transparent becomes imperative in order to address these concerns adequately. In healthcare, where decisions significantly impact individuals' well-being, it is paramount to afford clinicians and patients a clear understanding of the inner workings of AI algorithms and the rationale behind their decisions. This transparency not only fosters trust between healthcare professionals and AI technologies but also aligns with the ethical imperative of providing stakeholders with insights into the decision-making processes. By demystifying the functioning of AI models, the healthcare community can navigate the delicate balance between leveraging the benefits of advanced technologies and upholding ethical standards, ultimately promoting a more transparent, accountable, and trustworthy integration of AI in healthcare practices.

The integration of AI-driven clinical decision support systems into healthcare settings presents a paradigm shift in medical practices, offering invaluable assistance to healthcare professionals. Nevertheless, as AI plays a pivotal role in decision-making processes, ethical considerations come to the forefront, particularly regarding the responsibility and accountability for the decisions made by AI algorithms [10]. Achieving a harmonious balance between the expertise of human healthcare professionals and the assistance provided by AI is paramount. This equilibrium is essential to ensure that healthcare practitioners maintain their autonomy and possess the capacity to critically evaluate recommendations generated by AI systems. Striking the right balance not only safeguards against overreliance on AI but also upholds the ethical principle of shared decision-making, wherein human judgment and expertise remain central, and AI serves as a supportive tool. As the healthcare industry navigates this intersection of human and artificial intelligence, ethical frameworks must evolve to safeguard patient welfare, maintain professional integrity, and promote a symbiotic relationship between healthcare practitioners and AI-driven technologies.

The incorporation of AI into end-of-life care introduces a nuanced realm of ethical considerations, particularly centered around patient autonomy and decision-making. While AI technologies can play a valuable role in predicting patient outcomes and assessing treatment effectiveness, navigating the respect for the values and preferences of patients during these emotionally charged and difficult decisions becomes paramount [11]. The ethical dimensions of AI in end-of-life care demand the establishment of robust frameworks to guide its integration into these sensitive areas of healthcare. Balancing the potential benefits of AI, such as improved prognostication and tailored treatment recommendations, with the need to uphold patient autonomy and honor individual values is essential. This involves not only ensuring that AI algorithms are accurate and reliable but also fostering a patient-centered approach that integrates AI as a supportive tool rather than a substitute for human empathy and understanding. The development and adherence to ethical frameworks in this context are crucial to maintain the dignity of patients, preserve the sacred nature of end-of-life decisions, and foster a compassionate and empathetic healthcare environment.

The integration of AI into healthcare systems, particularly in optimizing resource allocation, represents a powerful tool for predicting patient admissions and identifying high-risk individuals. Nevertheless, this technological advancement introduces ethical challenges that necessitate careful consideration. The key ethical concern revolves around the imperative to ensure the fair and equitable distribution of resources. While AI can significantly enhance efficiency, there is a risk that its implementation may inadvertently exacerbate existing healthcare inequalities if not appropriately managed [12]. Striking a balance between efficiency and social justice is paramount to prevent marginalized populations from facing further disparities in access to healthcare resources. Ethical frameworks must be established to guide the development and deployment of AI systems in resource allocation, ensuring that they prioritize fairness, inclusivity, and the overall well-being of diverse patient populations. Addressing these ethical challenges is crucial for the responsible and equitable integration of AI technologies in healthcare and for realizing the potential benefits of improved resource management without compromising social justice principles.

The collaboration between healthcare professionals and AI systems in patient care brings forth a complex set of ethical questions concerning the delineation of roles and responsibilities for each entity involved. Establishing robust ethical frameworks is imperative to ensure the seamless integration of AI technologies without compromising the foundational human-centric values integral to healthcare, including empathy, compassion, and patient-centered care. Striking the right balance between the capabilities of AI and the unique qualities of human healthcare professionals is essential to preserve the empathetic and compassionate aspects of medical practice. Ethical considerations should address issues such as transparency in AI decision-making, accountability for outcomes, and the preservation of patient autonomy [13,14]. Moreover, fostering an ethical collaboration requires continuous scrutiny and adaptation of these frameworks to keep pace with evolving AI capabilities and healthcare practices. Ultimately, the ethical integration of AI into healthcare necessitates thoughtful reflection and proactive measures to safeguard the human-centric principles that underpin the healing profession.

In the ever-evolving landscape of healthcare innovation, it is paramount to ensure that AI systems not only meet rigorous ethical standards but also comply with existing legal requirements. This dual commitment is opening for building and sustaining public trust, a cornerstone in the successful deployment of AI in healthcare. Regulatory frameworks provide a structured approach to the development, testing, and implementation of AI technologies, helping mitigate potential risks and ensuring patient safety [15]. Concurrently, adherence to ethical guidelines establishes a moral compass, guiding the responsible use of AI in healthcare applications. This commitment to ethical and legal considerations is not merely a compliance exercise; it is a proactive strategy to foster responsible innovation, promote transparency, and uphold the highest standards of patient care. As the integration of AI technologies continues to advance, the conscientious intersection of regulatory compliance and ethical practices will be pivotal in shaping the future of healthcare.

In the dynamic evolution of healthcare driven by AI-driven technologies, ethical considerations emerge as paramount, necessitating a delicate equilibrium between innovation and ethical responsibility. The transformative potential of AI to enhance healthcare outcomes must be harnessed judiciously, ensuring that it aligns with fundamental principles of biomedical ethics and respects patient rights and privacy [16]. The ethical framework guiding AI development should encompass transparency, fairness, accountability, and patient autonomy. Continuous collaboration among researchers, healthcare professionals, ethicists, and policymakers are imperative to navigate and address the evolving ethical challenges posed by AI applications in healthcare. This collaborative effort serves as a proactive approach to anticipate and mitigate ethical concerns, fostering a future where AI stands as a responsible and ethical partner in healthcare, augmenting human capabilities while upholding the highest standards of patient care and ethical integrity.

Biomedical ethics grappling with persistent challenges amid the dynamic and swiftly evolving landscape of AI-driven technologies. The responsible utilization of AI, ethical ramifications associated with genome editing, and the equitable global distribution of CoVID-19 vaccines exemplify pressing concerns necessitating sustained ethical reflection and adaptability. The trajectory of biomedical ethics demands ongoing interdisciplinary collaboration, uniting the expertise of computer and data sciences, healthcare professionals, ethicists, researchers, and policymakers. As technology advances and societal dynamics shift, ethical guidelines and policies must undergo continuous evolution to effectively address emerging AI-driven challenges. It is imperative to ensure that ethical principles retain their centrality in guiding the progress of AI-driven technologies in healthcare, fostering a future where ethical considerations harmoniously intersect with cutting-edge advancements. This collaborative and adaptive approach is pivotal for steering the trajectory of biomedical ethics through the complexities of modern AI-driven healthcare landscapes.

2.   Ethical Problems

Artificial intelligence technology can improve the efficiency of existing diagnosis and treatment, such as intelligent image-assisted diagnosis technology, which greatly facilitates doctors to quickly judge patients' conditions. The ethical problems of artificial intelligence are mainly derived from the autonomous learning ability of algorithms. In terms of privacy security, the development of artificial intelligence technology relies on the development of cloud computing and big data technology, and data mining and collection is its main source of power. Whether or not artificial intelligence will harm humans at present depends on whether the humans who give it the task have the purpose of harming humans. The application of artificial intelligence in the medical field will profoundly change the existing medical system and inevitably impact the existing social and ethical order, bringing the following ethical problems [17,18].

2.1.   Equitable Benefit Problem

AI medical systems help analyze experimental data in genomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, and collect and analyze health data such as electronic medical records. Cluster analysis can help healthcare professionals identify new syndromes, and pattern recognition technology can match therapies to diseases. Given the uneven distribution of existing medical conditions, only a small number of people can benefit from the advanced diagnosis and treatment technology of AI, that is, AI medicine is only applicable to a relatively small group of advanced medical means network. The moral issues of artificial intelligence cannot be judged from the level of the audience, after all, the medical costs of artificial intelligence, such as social problems, should also be considered [19,20]. Barriers to equitable access may indirectly widen the gap between rich and poor in health care. Most patients may be resistant to artificial intelligence medical treatment, after all, they have not personally felt the benefits of high-tech medical treatment. Even medical personnel will appear increasingly "accurate" diagnosis and treatment with the assistance of artificial intelligence, after all, humans cannot have the big data processing ability of artificial intelligence and accurate medical image recognition ability, but it may also lead to medical personnel's dependence on artificial intelligence, and ultimately reduce their own diagnosis and treatment level.

2.2. Unemployment Problem

Artificial intelligence can help medical workers get rid of some of the repetitive, high-intensity or dangerous medical burdens in the past, which is a blessing of the development of artificial intelligence. Most people are optimistic about the economic impact of the development of artificial intelligence, which will eliminate some of the old physical and mental labor jobs, but also create new jobs. In the transition between the old and the new, the relevant departments must do a good job of vocational reeducation of the unemployed to ensure the stability of employment. The initial positioning of artificial intelligence medical application is auxiliary medical treatment, it can be seen that after solving this problem, the problem of job replacement will also be solved [21].

2.3. Patient Privacy Issues

The development of artificial intelligence requires the accumulation of large amounts of data, and the use of large amounts of data to train algorithms can improve the ability of artificial intelligence to solve problems. But it also threatens personal privacy and is the most prominent ethical challenge in developing the value of data resources. The threat to medical and health data is also a security risk for the development of artificial intelligence, and once these data are leaked, it will directly affect personal privacy. Artificial intelligence is different from human beings. The patient information collected by artificial intelligence medical systems is stored in the cloud or memory, and can be recovered even if manually deleted; The "confidentiality" of artificial intelligence is not as emotional as that of people, anyone can retrieve information from it, and encryption measures can not completely prevent the retrieval of information. Therefore, patient privacy information may be illegally stolen, and the issue of patient privacy in artificial intelligence medical systems has not been fully considered [22,23]. Google's DeepMind obtained NHS data on 1.6 million patients at three hospitals run by the Royal Mercy NHS Trust in May 2017, including intimate data such as HIV status, abortion information and past drug overdoses.

Sharing medical records is necessary for more accurate, personalized medical care. Two extreme scenarios are envisaged: (1) completely abandon the protection of privacy, all data is used to develop artificial intelligence; (2) The absolute protection of privacy, regardless of data, may lead to advances in artificial intelligence. A balance between the two extremes is ideal, but the balance may be completely different for different data types. For example, patients with fractures may not particularly mind the disclosure of medical data, and may be more willing to contribute data to the development of artificial intelligence; However, hepatitis B patients are more worried that the disclosure of diagnostic data will affect their employment, mate selection and insurance, and are more inclined to keep personal information confidential [24,25].

3.   Solution Countermeasure

As a new technology, the stability and safety of artificial intelligence system is directly related to the security of medical applications. If the AI system fails or is damaged, the cause of the damage can be identified, and the safety and failure of the AI system should be reviewed by human regulators, that is, human participation in judicial decision-making, requiring fault transparency and judicial transparency. This will increase public trust in AI technology, and if an accident occurs, the principle of fault transparency will help accident investigators pinpoint the cause of the accident. The United Nations report on robot ethics believes that in the ethical and legal supervision of robots and robot technology, a crucial element is traceability, and the establishment of traceability can make the robot's behavior and decision-making under supervision. It allows human regulators not only to understand the thinking and decision-making process of intelligent robots and make necessary corrections, but also to play its proper role in specific investigations and legal actions. Only by ensuring that human beings can fully track the thinking and decision-making process of robots can they take the initiative in the process of monitoring robots or conduct comprehensive follow-up investigations afterwards [26-28].

In view of the panic caused by the ethical issues of the development of artificial intelligence technology in the public, the government, scientific research institutions, schools and other relevant departments should form an educational joint force, and use media publicity or on-site lectures to popularize the basic knowledge and content related to artificial intelligence technology, so as to improve the public's cognition of artificial intelligence technology. It is necessary to guide the public to understand artificial intelligence in a reasonable and healthy direction, improve the public's rational judgment ability, and avoid the public being too affected by the "threat theory" of artificial intelligence, so as to promote the healthy development of artificial intelligence technology.

The ethical issues in the rapid development of artificial intelligence are common to all countries in the world. It is necessary to establish a unified standard for the development of artificial intelligence technology, so that the research on artificial intelligence technology in all countries in the world can move towards rationalization, and

promote the continuous benefit of artificial intelligence technology to the human country. In January 2017, experts in the field of artificial intelligence from around the world jointly signed the "Asilomar Artificial Intelligence Principles" at the Beneficial AI conference, which clarified 23 principles such as safety and benefit sharing, and called on workers in the field of artificial intelligence to abide by these principles and jointly safeguard the future interests and safety of mankind [29]. Different from the technical support and research and development environment in Western countries, the field of artificial intelligence design in China is more commercially driven, because the medical industry standards lag or even lack. To solve the ethical problems of AI product design only depends on the ethical consciousness of product designers [30-32].

4.   Conclusion

While the rapid development of AI healthcare systems raises ethical issues such as equitable benefits, job losses, patient privacy, medical safety, division of responsibilities, and regulation, there is no need to be overly concerned or alarmed about the ethical issues posed by this rapidly evolving new technology. According to the causes of its formation, corresponding solutions are taken to ensure that the application of artificial intelligence in the medical field is based on the principle of "serving the interests of mankind, never harming mankind", and effectively improve the quality of medical services under the premise of reducing the duplication of labor of medical personnel to improve efficiency, reduce misdiagnosis and missed diagnosis. It can be seen that a clear understanding of the ethical issues of artificial intelligence technology and the corresponding countermeasures have very important practical significance for the better benefit of artificial intelligence technology for mankind.

5.   Conflict of Interest

All authors disclosed no relevant relationships.

References

1. Bioethics: Foundations, Applications and Future Challenges[M]. CRC Press, 2023.
2. Balasubramanian S, Devarajan H R, Raparthi M, et al. Ethical Considerations in AI-assisted Decision-Making for End-Of-Life Care in Healthcare[J]. Power System Technology, 2023, 47(4): 167-182.
3. Dlugatch R, Georgieva A, Kerasidou A. Trustworthy artificial intelligence and ethical design: public perceptions of trustworthiness of an AI-based decision-support tool in the context of intrapartum care[J]. BMC Medical Ethics, 2023, 24(1): 42.
4. Karimian G, Petelos E, Evers S M A A. The ethical issues of the application of artificial intelligence in healthcare: a systematic scoping review[J]. AI and Ethics, 2022, 2(4): 539-551.
5. Gkontra P, Quaglio G, Garmendia A T, et al. Challenges of Machine Learning and AI (What Is Next?), Responsible and Ethical AI[M]//Clinical Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Real-World Data. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023: 263-285.
6. Dey S M, Dutta P K. From Code to Care and Navigating Ethical Challenges in AI Healthcare[M]//Human-Centered Approaches in Industry 5.0: Human-Machine Interaction, Virtual Reality Training, and Customer Sentiment Analysis. IGI Global, 2024: 210-225.
7. Nogaroli R, Faleiros Jnior J L M. Ethical Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine and the Triple Semantic Dimensions of Algorithmic Opacity with Its Repercussions to Patient Consent and Medical Liability[M]//Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence and the Law. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023: 229-248.
8. Takshi S. Unexpected inequality: disparate-impact from artificial intelligence in healthcare decisions[J]. JL & Health, 2020, 34: 215.
9. Davahli M R, Karwowski W, Fiok K, et al. Controlling safety of artificial intelligence-based systems in healthcare[J]. Symmetry, 2021, 13(1): 102.
10. Husnain A, Rasool S, Saeed A, et al. AI'S healing touch: examining machine learning's transformative effects on healthcare[J]. Journal of World Science, 2023, 2(10): 1681-1695.
11. Yin S, Li H, Laghari A A, et al. An Anomaly Detection Model Based On Deep Auto-Encoder and Capsule Graph Convolution via Sparrow Search Algorithm in 6G Internet-of-Everything[J]. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 2024.
12. Teng L. Brief Review of Medical Image Segmentation Based on Deep Learning[J]. IJLAI Transactions on Science and Engineering, 2023, 1(02): 01-08.
13. Yin S, Li H, Teng L, et al. Attribute-based multiparty searchable encryption model for privacy protection of text data[J]. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 2023: 1-22.

14. Al Kuwaiti A, Nazer K, Al-Reedy A, et al. A review of the role of artificial intelligence in healthcare[J]. Journal of personalized medicine, 2023, 13(6): 951.

15. Teng L, Li H, Yin S, et al. A Modified Advanced Encryption Standard for Data Security[J]. International Journal of Network Security, 2020, 22(1): 112-117.

16. Yu J, Li H, Yin S L, et al. Dynamic gesture recognition based on deep learning in human-to-computer interfaces[J]. Journal of Applied Science and Engineering, 2020, 23(1): 31-38.

17. Dave M, Patel N. Artificial intelligence in healthcare and education[J]. British dental journal, 2023, 234(10): 761-764.

18. Albahri A S, Duhaim A M, Fadhel M A, et al. A systematic review of trustworthy and explainable artificial intelligence in healthcare: Assessment of quality, bias risk, and data fusion[J]. Information Fusion, 2023.

19. Yin S. Object Detection Based on Deep Learning: A Brief Review[J]. IJLAI Transactions on Science and Engineering, 2023, 1(02): 1-6.

20. Liu J, Zhang J, Yin S. Hybrid chaotic system-oriented artificial fish swarm neural network for image encryption[J]. Evolutionary Intelligence, 2023, 16(1): 77-87.

21. Khalid N, Qayyum A, Bilal M, et al. Privacy-preserving artificial intelligence in healthcare: Techniques and applications[J]. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 2023: 106848.

22. Ueda D, Kakinuma T, Fujita S, et al. Fairness of artificial intelligence in healthcare: review and recommendations[J]. Japanese Journal of Radiology, 2024, 42(1): 3-15.

23. Jimma B L. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: A bibliometric analysis[J]. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 2023, 9: 100041.

24. Bharati S, Mondal M R H, Podder P. A review on explainable artificial intelligence for healthcare: why, how, and when?[J]. IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, 2023.

25. Jiang Y, Yin S. Heterogenous-view occluded expression data recognition based on cycle-consistent adversarial network and K-SVD dictionary learning under intelligent cooperative robot environment[J]. Computer Science and Information Systems, 2023, 20(4): 1869-1883.

26. Yin S, Li H. Hot region selection based on selective search and modified fuzzy C-means in remote sensing images[J]. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 2020, 13: 5862-5871.

27. Kumar P, Chauhan S, Awasthi L K. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: review, ethics, trust challenges & future research directions[J]. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2023, 120: 105894.

28. Meenigea N, Kolla V R K. Exploring the current landscape of artificial intelligence in healthcare[J]. International Journal of Sustainable Development in Computing Science, 2023, 5(1).

29. Jisi A, Yin S. A new feature fusion network for student behavior recognition in education[J]. Journal of Applied Science and Engineering, 2021, 24(2): 133-140.

30. Sezgin E. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Complementing, not replacing, doctors and healthcare providers[J]. Digital health, 2023, 9: 20552076231186520.

31. Seoni S, Jahmunah V, Salvi M, et al. Application of uncertainty quantification to artificial intelligence in healthcare: A review of last decade (2013C2023)[J]. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 2023: 107441.

32. Zhang D, Shafiq M, Wang L, et al. Privacy-preserving remote sensing images recognition based on limited visual cryptography[J]. CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology, 2023, 8(4): 1166-1177.

Biography

Mirza Abdul Aleem Baig is with the Center for Biomedical Engineering, School of Electronic Engineering and Information Science, University of Science and Technology of China (USTC). His research direction is Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare.